

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
U6/942,666	12/17/86	L.I.N		ì	i ALE. 2004 hostolo
The property of the state of th	SPRUNG HORN KRAMER & WOODS		_	EXAMINER	
600 THXRD	AVENUE	PACOCIAL/CO	I	TOURD	
NEW YORK»	NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ı				1.83	18
<u> </u>				DATE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: Is extended to run	
Is extended to run	ADVISORY ACTION
continues to run	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CPR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CPR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above. "popellant's Freis is due in accordance with 37 CPR 1.19(2)." Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed D-1_8B , has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claims and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: There is no convincing showing under 37 CPR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. They are a	is extended to run from the date of the Final Rejection
event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filling a petition under 37 CFR 1.35(8), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10-78-8, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). They respect the defined to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. They resent additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	continues to run from the date of the Final Rejection
fee. The date on which the response, the polition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above. popellant's Parie is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a) Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10-7.8%, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 10-7-8-8, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:	
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the fon-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amandment will be will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows: Allowed claims: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: I - I and !! However: a. The rejection of claims on on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on on-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit or exhibit at request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record: Re	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
NOTE:	
2. Newly proposed or amended claims	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
Jon-allowable claims. 3. I don't the filing of en eppeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows: Allowed claims: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; a. The rejection of claims on on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record: The prior art supplied with applicants' RONALD W. GRIFFIN PRIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 183 Considered Since Such was not timely filed	NOTE:
Allowed claims: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: and (However; a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: and However; a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit, exhibit ar request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record. The Prior art supplied with applicants' response after final bas been placed PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 183 Considered Lince Such was not timely filed	
Claims rejected:	Allowed claims:
a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record: The Prior art supplied with applicants' response after binal has been placed PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 183 Considered Since Such was not timely filed	
b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection. 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examinev Interview Summary Record: The Prior art supplied with applicants' response after final has been placed of record in the file but has not been ART UNIT 183 Considered Summary Limity left	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record. The prior art supplied with applicants' response abter binal has been placed observed in the bile but has not been Considered since such was not timely filed RONALD W. GRIFFIN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 183	
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record. The Prior art supplied with applicants' response after final has been placed of record in the file but has not been Considered since such was not timely filed ART UNIT 183	4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record. The prior art supplied with applicants' response after final has been placed of record in the file but has not been considered since such was not timely filed Considered since such was not timely filed	
Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary Record. The Prior art supplied with opplicants' response after final has been placed of record in the file but has not been Considered since such was not timely filed ART UNIT 183	☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
The prior ant supplied with opplicants' response after final has been placed PRIMARY EXAMINER of record in the file but has not been ART UNIT 183 Considered since such was not timely filed	Attachment: Examiner Interview Summary / Mil Mill.
observation the file but has not been placed PRIMARY EXAMINER of record in the file but has not been ART UNIT 183 Considered since such was not timely filed	The Prior art supplied with applicants RONALD W. GRIFFIN
	response after final has been placed PRIMARY EXAMINER of record in the file but has not been ART UNIT 183
	PTOL-303 (REV. 3-86)